Defining patient-centred outcomes to demonstrate success in hearing rehabilitation using patient and professional Delphi reviews

David Allen¹, Melanie Ferguson¹, Louise Hickson²

¹National Acoustic Laboratories, Hearing Australia, Sydney, Australia ²The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia



Background

- There is a wide variety and number of outcome measures used to assess hearing rehabilitation
- Yet, no consensus on what outcome domains should be targeted for measurement as markers of "successful" rehabilitation
- Most existing outcome measures have been developed with minimal involvement of consumers of hearing care

Methods

- Simultaneous three-round online Delphi reviews were conducted with two groups:
 - Consumers of hearing care (n = 64)
 - Professionals in hearing rehabilitation, including audiologists, managers, researchers, consumer advocates, and device manufacturers (n = 50)
- Domains were developed using open-ended questions from a workshop (consumers) and round 1 (professionals)
- In rounds 2 and 3, domains rated using a five-point Likert item (very important to very unimportant)
- Consensus was defined as 80% of respondents rating an item as Important or Very Important
- The top 5 domains from each review were then ranked for importance, and put to a consensus workshop of key stakeholders who, following discussion, ranked the four top-rated domains.

Results

- Domains and consensus are presented below
- Bolded domains were those ranked most highly
- Final agreed outcome domains were:
 - improved communication ability, improved personal relationships, improved well-being, and reduced social participation restrictions

Conclusions

- Strong focus on psychosocial outcomes, rather than traditional measures, such as use of hearing devices or satisfaction with services
- Very little mention of hearing devices, supporting the use of domains and measures appropriate for nondevice rehabilitation as well as device-based rehabilitation
- Strong recognition of the broader impacts of hearing difficulties, including the impacts on family, social, and community life
- Many identified outcome domains do not have established outcome measures that have been validated in hearing rehabilitation
- Establish an independent body to develop a national outcomes database
- This research has led to recommendations to the Australian Government's Department of Health

Consensus outcome domains

Patients

I can communicate well with my family	1 00 %	
I can use my hearing aids effectively		
I can communicate effectively with people		
My hearing impacts less on my family		
I am able to do the things that I want to do		
I hear clearly with my hearing aids	95%	
My hearing aids are comfortable	95%	
I am satisfied with the hearing care I receive	95%	
I have the skills I need to communicate	93%	
I trust my hearing care professional	93%	
I can live my life independently	90%	
I am able to participate in the social events that I want	90%	
I have more control over my hearing	88%	
I can use the telephone effectively	88%	
I am better able to hear the TV as a result of my hearing care	84%	

Professionals

%	Improved communication ability	100%
%	Improved personal relationships	100%
%	Improved communication in groups	97%
%	Improved participation in activities	97%
%	Reduced social isolation	97%
%	Reduced listening effort	97%
%	Improved social engagement	90%
%	Improved self-management ability	87%
%	Improved well-being	87%
%	Increased independence	87%
%	Reduced loneliness	83%
%	Improved community engagement	83%

Percentages show proportion of respondents to Round 3 rating an item as Important or Very Important



Leading the world in hearing research and evidencebased innovation to improve hearing health and transform the lives of people with hearing difficulties BAA Online, 23-27 November 2020 david.allen@nal.gov.au

www.nal.gov.au